

EDDIE ANDREW GORDON

CRIMINAL ACTION NO

2:05 CR 290  
MHT

MR. THOMPSON

RECEIVED

I WRITING IN REGARDS TO GROSS ERRORS  
 ABOUT MY CASE, ME AND MR. KEVIN BUTLER WAS  
 BEFOR YOU ON APRIL 18, 2006 BASE ON REPRESENTATION  
 AS TO MR. BUTLER BEING MY COUNSEL, WHICH I  
 WITHDRAWED MOTION, DESIRE TO KEEP HIM, ON MAY  
 16, 2006 BEFOR MAGISTRATE JUDGE MRS. MCPHERSON  
 ALONG WITH MYSELF, MR. BUTLER AND MR. BANKSSMITH  
 AGAIN WAS THE SAME SITUATION, REALLY DON'T UNDER-  
 STAND WHY MR. KEVIN BUTLER KEEP PUTTING ME THROUGH  
 ALL THESE CHANGES, MR. THOMPSON I REALLY NEED  
 TO SPEAK WITH YOU BEFOR AUG 7, THAT IS  
 TRIAL DATE, I PRAY AND ASK THE LORD FOR  
 HEIP, VERY MUCH, CAUSE I'M NOT ABLE TO HIRE  
 MY OWN ATTORNEY, THE LORD HAVE OPEN MY  
 EYES TO AIDT LATELY. MR. THOMPSON, I FILE  
 A RULE 32 IN STATE COURT LAST YEAR IN  
 DEC- STATE COURT SENTENCE ME TO 20 YEARS  
 SPLIT 5 TO SERVE FOR A POSS OF CONTROL SUB- TWO  
 AND A HALF GRAMS "COCAINE" I FILE A RULE 32 ON  
 CONVICTION OBTAINED BY PLEA OF GUILTY WHICH  
 WAS UNLAWFULLY, AND EFFECTIVE ASSISTANCE OF  
 COUNSEL, THEY PROMISE ME ONE THING A PLEA  
 DAY OF SENTENCE THE DONE SOMETHING OTHER,  
 JUDGE TRACY MCCOXY LAWYER WAS MR. DOUGLAS  
 FREEMAN, BEFOR I GOT A RESPONSE ON  
 MY RULE 32 UNITED STATES MARSHALL  
 SERVICE WAS AT STATE PRISON TO

**PICK ME UP - ON FEDERAL WRIT**, FELOW  
 IN POSS F/A. ON JAN 12, I HAD TO BE IN  
 FEDERAL COURT ON FEB 8, 2006. NOW HERE IT IS  
 AS OF MAY 24, 2006 I HAVE RECEIVE A MOTION  
 DISCOVERY, BUT HAVEN'T NO-ONE SHOWED  
 ME A WARRANT FEDERAL I'M BEING HOID  
 UNLAWFULLY MR. THOMPSON, DON'T KNOW  
 HOW LONG A WRIT LAST, BUT MR.  
 THOMPSON, I COME FROM A POOR FAMILY  
 DON'T HAVE MUCH, BUT THE LORD BEEN  
 GOOD TO ME, PRAISE HIM, I OFTEN PRAY  
 PUT MY FAITH IN GOD ASK HIM TO  
 GUIDE AND SHOW ME THE WAY CAUSE  
 SOMETHING NOT RIGHT ABOUT MY CASE  
 AND GOD ANSWER MY PRAYERS, MR.  
 THOMPSON IN STATE PRISON I WAS  
 PUT THERE UNLAWFULLY, I GOT PROOF  
 I NEVER WAS IN INDICTED BY STATE  
 COURT THEY JUMP THE GRAND JURY ON  
 ME, MY WARRANTS FOR STATE COURT  
 ARE DISTRICT COURT WARRANTS, MR. BUTLER  
 KNOW WHAT'S GOING ON I BEEN LOCKED-UP  
 SINCE JUNE 2, 2005 I DO NOT WANT TO SUE  
 ANYONE, PLEASE MR. THOMPSON LET ME SHOW  
 YOU EVERYTHING FOR PROOF, I JUST WANT TO BE  
 WITH MY FAMILY PLEASE THAT'S ALL I  
 WANT, I GOT PROOF PLEASE HEIP ME PLEASE,  
 PLEASE HEIP ME. MR. THOMPSON I NEED TO SEE YOU

MR. BUTLER NOT  
 SUE  
 I DON'T WANT TO  
 JUST FREE ME PLEASE  
 IF  
 RIGHT.  
 HEVIN

(A) IN GENERAL

(1) APPEARANCE UPON ARREST

HERE

(A) A PERSON MAKING AN ARREST <sup>WITHIN</sup> ~~OUTSIDE~~ THE UNITED STATES MUST TAKE THE DEFENDANT WITHOUT UNNECESSARY DELAY BEFORE A MAGISTRATE JUDGE, OR BEFORE A STATE OR LOCAL JUDICIAL OFFICER AS RULE 5(C) PROVIDES UNLESS A STATUTE PROVIDES OTHERWISE.

NEW RULE 4(B) WHICH CURRENTLY RULE 4(C) ADDRESSES THE FORM OF AN ARREST WARRANT AND A SUMMONS AND INCLUDES TWO NON-STYLISTIC CHANGES, FIRST RULE (B)(1)(C) MANDATES THAT THE WARRANT REQUIRES THAT THE DEFENDANT BE BROUGHT WITHOUT UNNECESSARY DELAY" BEFORE A JUDGE. THE COMMITTEE BELIEVED THAT THIS WAS A MORE APPROPRIATE STANDARD THAN CURRENT REQUIREMENT THAT DEFENDANT BE BROUGHT BEFORE THE NEAREST AVAILABLE MAGISTRATE JUDGE. THIS NEW LANGUAGE ACCURATELY REFLECTS THE THRUST OF THE ORIGINAL RULE, THAT TIME IS OF THE ESSENCE AND THAT THE DEFENDANT SHOULD BE BROUGHT WITH DISPATCH BEFORE A JUDICIAL OFFICER IN THE DISTRICT. SECOND, THE REVISED RULE STATES A PREFERENCE THAT A DEFENDANT BE BROUGHT BEFORE A FEDERAL JUDICIAL OFFICER, RULE 4(B)(2) HAS BEEN AMENDED TO REQUIRE THAT IF A SUMMON IS

WHERE THE OFFENSE WAS ALLEGEDLY COMMITTED IF THE WAS ARRESTED IN A DISTRICT OTHER THAN WHERE THE OFFENSE WAS ALLEGEDLY COMMITTED, THE INITIAL APPEARANCE MUST BE;

- (A) IN THE DISTRICT OF ARREST; OR
- (B) IN A ADJACENT IF;

(\*) THE APPEARANCE CAN OCCUR MORE PROMPTLY THERE OF.

(II) THE OFFENSE WAS ALLEGEDLY COMMITTED THERE 1A